

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/084,388

Applicant(s)

KOPYTEK ET AL.

Examiner

Maria B Marvich, PhD

Art Unit

1636

All Participants:

(1) Maria B Marvich, PhD.

(2) Gary Gershik.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


GERRY LEFFERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A preliminary amendment filed 2/25/02 indicating cancellation of claims 34-50 and 52-78 was not identified at the time that the restriction requirement was mailed. The implication of this amendment upon the restriction requirement was discussed. Applicants stated that it would be proper to examine the elected Group I minus the cancelled claims in a First Action.